UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/797,045	03/11/2004	Jin-Guang Teng	007198-587	4689
21839 7590 06/22/2007 BUCHANAN, INGERSOLL & ROONEY PC POST OFFICE BOX 1404			EXAMINER	
			CHAPMAN, JEANETTE E	
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3635	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		A walk and an No					
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/797,045	TENG, JIN-GUANG				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Chapman E. Jeanette	3635				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAISION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we tree to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	N. imely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/2/0	<u>7</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	153 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-9 and 11</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	Claim(s) <u>1,3-9 and 11</u> is/are rejected.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
• —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/797,045

Art Unit: 3635

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 3-9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Satake et al(4142555) in view of Mirmiran et al (5599599).

Satake discloses a double skin tubular construction;

- 1. a reinforced polymer outer tube 4;
- 2. an inner tube 1 made from a steel material
- a filler material 3 between the outer and the inner tube 4 and 1 respectively
- the providing and inserting steps have obviously been performed by
 Mirmiran as they are shown
- 5. the fibber reinforced polymer acts as a construction form during a curing stage of the filler as much as applicants polymer performs with the same limitations; there are no real or positively recited method steps in claim 9.

Mirmiran discloses a plurality of fibre reinforced polymer layers (column 3, line 62 through line 4 line 10) including a majority of fibers oriented circumferentially around the tube. Mirmiran discloses a filler mater provided between the outer tube and the inner tube.

The material for the aggregate filler and the method in which the fibre reinforce polymer has been made has been considered a matter of choice. One of ordinary skill in the art would have appreciated all of the known types of fillers and aggregates and would have selected any one capable of filling the intended use, function and purpose of the invention. Moreover, the claims are not directed to a method but article the fibre reinforced polymer layers are shown by mirmiran. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Quigley to include the bound aggregate material of any material in order to protect any steel or metal bars from the effects of corrosion. One of ordinary skill in the art would have appreciated all the methods to construct them and would have selected any one method commensurate with the intended use and financial budget.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-9 and 11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chapman E. Jeanette whose telephone number is 571-272-6841. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-thursday, 8:30-6:00, every fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, RICHARD CHILCOT can be reached on 571-272-6841. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/797,045 Page 4

Art Unit: 3635

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1,000.

PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER
ART UNIT 3635